राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद (भारत सरकार का एक विधिक संस्थान) दक्षिण क्षेत्रीय समिति



National Council for Teacher Education (A Statutory Body of the Government of India) Southern Regional Committee

F.No/SRC/NCTE/APS03424/B.Ed/KA/2016 99825 Date: 14 01 2016

BE PUBLISHED IN GAZETTE OF INDIA PART III SECTION 4

PREAMBLE:-

WHEREAS, Indira College of Education, Behind Tiles Factory, Upparahally, Tumkur, Karnataka was granted recognition on 18.07.2000 with an intake of 100 students

AND WHEREAS, this office was in receipt of letter No.TY:VCPS:2011-12:98 dated 21.05.2011 from the Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University, Tumkur by enclosing a list of 19 institutions with the committee observations by conducting inspections.

AND WHEREAS, the SRC in its 206th meeting held on 9th -10th June, 2011 noted the inspection report of the Vice-chancellor, Tumkur University, dated 21.05.2011 and the deficiencies in the 19 institutions in Tumkur District and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 08.07.2011. The institution had replied vide letter dated 10.8.2011.

AND WHEREAS, the SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21th -23rd September, 2011 considered the letter dt.21.05.2011 from Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University pointing out deficiencies in the 19 Tumkur University colleges and also reply of the above institution dated 10.08.2011 and other documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause composite inspection for all the courses at premises under Section 17 of NCTE Act, to verify whether the institution is maintaining instructional and infrastructural facilities as per NCTE norms. The institution was also directed to submit certain documents within 30 days of the receipt of the notice, with Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- inspection fee towards the all the courses being run, in favour of "The Member Secretary, NCTE" payable at Bangalore.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 01.11.2011.

AND WHEREAS, in the meantime, Tumkur University vide their letter received on 17.10.2011 had conducted re-visit to the institution during 15-19 and 27th of July, 2011 and made certain observations.

AND WHEREAS, in the meantime, R.O.NCTE, HQ vide letter dated 3rd November, 2011 had forwarded a complaint dated 30.7.2011 made by Sri. V.N.Ramesh, No.45, 3rd Cross, Ashok Nagar, B.H.Road, Tumkur against 5 institutions(including this) with direction to seek the comments from the institution on the complaint. The complainant brought to the notice of NCTE about the gross irregularities in the functioning of Divya B.Ed. College and also other B.Ed. Colleges(including this) in Tumkur. He alleged that inspite of good reputation of Tumkur University affiliated teacher education colleges, a few black-sheep like Divya College of Education, Nayala College of Education, Akshaya College of Education, Bharathi College of Education and Indira College of Education are bringing a bad name to other colleges in Tumkur. He had requested to initiate immediate action in the better interest of the students career and lives.

Contd...P/2

ज्ञान भारती केम्पस रोड, नागरभावी, सामने नेशनल लॉ स्कूल, बेंगलौर – ५६० ०७२ Phone : 080-23185669/70/72 Fax : 080-23185673 Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Opp. National Law School, Nagarabhavi, Bangalore - 560 072. E-mail : src@ncte-india.org Website : http://www.srcncte.in AND WHEREAS, the SRC in its 215th meeting held on 12th –13thDecember, 2011 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 14.02.2012. The institution submitted its written representation on 20.03.2012.

AND WHEREAS, the Management is also offering D.Ed. course by name Indira Teacher Training Institute(APS03425) in the same building in Tumkur. Indira Teacher Training Institute was served Show Cause Notice on 15.6.2011 for certain deficiencies. Since there was no reply to the Show Cause Notice, the matter was placed before 220th meeting of SRC held on 30th -31st March, 2012.

AND WHEREAS, the SRC having considered the matter decided to withdraw recognition for D.Ed.(APS03425) and B.Ed.(APS03424) courses with effect from 2012-13.

AND WHEREAS, whereas, while considering the recognition for B.Ed. Course, the Committee in its 215th meeting held on 12th -13th December, 2011 decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution for B.Ed. course on 14.02.2012. The institution submitted its written representation on 20.03.2012 for B.Ed. course. Hence, the written representation was placed as above.

AND WHEREAS, the SRC In its 222nd meeting held on 9th -10th May considered the written reply vide letter dt 20.03.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, a Final Show Cause Notice was issued on 20.03.2012. The institution has submitted a written representation on 02.07.2012

AND WHEREAS, the reply of the institution to the show cause notice dt. 02.07.2012 was duly considered by SRC in its 239th Meeting held on 26th - 27th February 2013 and the reply was unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persisted as under:

- As per NCTE regulations, 2009 lease from a private person is not allowed. The land and building should be in the name of the institution.
- No documentary proof is submitted towards appointment of qualified Principal.
- Approved staff list is not submitted.
- The documents like BCC, Building plan etc are submitted for leased premises only which is not allowed as per NCTE norms.
- Lecturer in Fine Arts is not eligible as per extant NCTE norms as he is not having MA degree in Fine Arts. Staff profile and affidavits are not submitted for both the newly appointed lecturers.
- No documentary proof has been submitted regarding adopting pay scales of UGC/State/Central Government to staff members as per NCTE regulations, norms and standards

AND WHEREAS, based on the above points the Southern Regional Committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Indira College of Education, Turnkur District, Karnataka from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.

AND WHEREAS, it was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body was informed accordingly. Further, decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any out

Contd....P/3

AND WHEREAS, as per the decision of SRC, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide order no. F.SRO.NCTE/APS0 3424/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/50383 dated 05.04.2013.

AND WHEREAS, the institution has filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority , NCTE, New Dethi against withdrawal order of SRC.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the original file of the institution was sent to the Hqrs on 02.08.2013.

AND WHEREAS, further, the institution has approached the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P. No. 49492 -49496 and 49812-49813 of 2013 and 50291-50297 of 2013

AND WHEREAS, the Court Order dated 10.12.2013 from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P. No. 49492 -49496 and 49812-49813 of 2013 and 50291-50297 of 2013 is as under :-

"These petitions coming on orders, on service of notice on respondent No. 3, the learned senior advocate Shri. SubramanyaJois appearing for the Counsel for the petitioners states that notice to respondent No. 3, may be dispensed with an in the light of the circumstances that this court has already taken a view in similar circumstances in other batch of writ petitions, that these petitions may also be disposed of in the light of the same.

2. On the facts of the present case , it is noticed that the petitioners are said to be running colleges of education, which have been duly recognized by the National Council of Teacher Education (NCTE) , a statuary body of Government of India and are affiliated to the Tumkur University. It transpires that by virtue of the orders passed withdrawing recognition for the academic year 2013-14, which was not preceded by any notice or an opportunity of hearing, though there was a reply to the notice issued by the second respondent, the second respondent however, without affording an opportunity of hearing, has proceeded to pass several impugned orders in respect of the respective petitioners. It is that which is sought to be questioned by this common petition filed by the several institutions.

3. Having regard to the fact that there are no statement of objections filed to the present petitions and that other respondents, though served, remaining unrepresented, the fact that there was no opportunity of hearing and that the objections filed by the respondents in so far as the show – cause –notice issued notice does not appear to have been considered.

The petition deserves to be summarily allowed and are accordingly allowed. The impugned annexures are quashed. The respondents, however, are at liberty to consider the objections filed to the original notice after re-issuing notice to the petitioners and afford an opportunity of hearing and to proceed further in accordance with law."

AND WHEREAS, the above court order was placed before SRC in its 257th Meeting held on 20th - 22nd December 2013 and the committee decided as under ;

'A number of show cause notices have been given all these cases. Therefore, the Lawyer to be asked to file an appeal immediately in all the cases and obtain 'stay'. The matter to be pursued with the Lawyer.'

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, a letter was sent to Mr.DineshKumar ,advocate on 08.01.2014.On 09.01.2014, an E-Mail was received from Mr.Dinesh Kumar ,advocate stating as under ;

Contd....P/4

I have gone through the order dated 10.12.2013. In my opinion, in view of the observations contained in Paragraph 3 of the order, it is not a fit case to file an appeal. The direction of the Hon'ble Court is to consider the objections filed to the original notice after re-issuing notice to the petitioner and afford an opportunity of hearing.

It is therefore desirable to comply with the order instead of challenging the same in appeal."

AND WHEREAS, the above opinion of the advocate was placed before SRC in its 259" Meeting held on 17th to 19th January 2014. The Committee has considered the matter and decided that

'earlier decision taken in 257th meeting held on 20th -22nd Dec, 2013, to file an appeal through our Lawyer is revised. Even the Lawyer's advice to file a petition for 'review' is put on hold.

Further, it is decided by the committee to issue notice in all the 7 cases(viz. APS01885, AOS0463, AOS00464, APS03424, AOS00302, APS03481 & APS01767) for a personal hearing in 260th meeting.

Further course of action will be decided after the personal hearing."

AND WHEREAS, as per the decision of SRC in its 259th meeting held on 17th - 19th Jan, 2014, a notice was served to the institutions for personal hearing in the 260th meeting of SRC on 22.01.2014.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the representatives of six of the seven institutions, Viz,

i) APS01885, B.Ed, KalpathuruVidhyaSamsthe, Tiptur, KA

ii) AOS00463,B.Ed. SreeSiddaganga Education Society, Tumkur,KA. iii) AOS00464,B.Ed. Sri Siddhartha Education Society, Maralur,KA

iv) APS03424, B.Ed. Indira Education Society, Tumkur KA

v) AOSO0302 B.Ed. K.S.E.F. College of Education, Turnkur, KA.

vi) APS03481, B.Ed. Sri. T.V.V. College of Education, Tumkur KA.

have appeared and given personal a hearing.

AND WHEREAS, one College by name VidyavahiniSamsthe, (APS01767.B.Ed).Tumkur, KA, has not appeared for personal hearing inspite of being informed through e-mail, speed post & telephonic call

AND WHEREAS, as an introductory note the Chairperson, SRC has in 260th Meeting of SRC held on 20th and 30th January,2014 told to the representatives of the institutions that 'that we (the Regional Committees) do not have the practice of personal hearing as per Regulations. However, in compliance to the directions of the Honourable High Court of Karnataka, we have called you for personal hearing. You may say what all you want to say. It is entirely the discretion of the institution. But please submit in writing today after this hearing point wise what all submissions you wanted to make before SRC during the personal hearing session such that, later, there would not be scope either to the institution to say that SRC did not hear on these points or to the SRC that the institution has not submitted these points. You may also enclose what all documents you want to enclose to your written representation. Make a photocopy of your representation and take acknowledgement of SRO".

AND WHEREAS, the oral presentations made by these institutions are recorded which are as under: APS03424, B.Ed. Indira Education Society, Turnkur, Karnataka,

- a) An affidavit from the General Secretary regarding possession of land
- b) A notarized photocopy of the lease deed dated 05.03.2012 between Indira Education Society
- and Sri .Shivamurthy for a period of 30 years.

Contd...P/5

c) A copy of the request letter addressed to the Registrar for approval of staff list. As per the decision of SRC, a notice for personal hearing was issued to the institution on 22.01.2014.

The committee decided as under;-

"Party appeared .Was given a personal hearing. Thereafter, they were advises to give a written submission of their presentation. Signed photocopy was returned as acknowledgement. Put up on file'

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the institution has submitted its written representation on 03.02.2014, The committee in its 261st Meeting considered the written representation and directed SRO to Process and put up comparative statement of documents submitted earlier and not submitted at the personal hearing. Accordingly, the file is processed.

AND WHEREAS, the Committee in compliance to the Hon'ble High Court directions dated 10.12.2013; in its 257th meeting decided to give personal hearing. Accordingly, the said college was given a personal hearing in its 260th meeting held on 29-31st Jan, 2014 and advised to give a written representation.

AND WHEREAS, the institution submitted its written representation along with the documents on 03.02.2014.

AND WHEREAS, the reply submitted by the institution to the personal hearing was duly considered by the SRC in its 264th meeting held during 1-3 March, 2014 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persists as under-

- The institution does not have title to the lands. In March 2012, they cannot register a leasedeed; NCTE Regulations do not permit that. In this case, the lease deed is limited to the building
- 2. The Institution had applied for permission to shift. They cannot shift in 2012 to a leased building.
- Staff list is not approved by competent authority; it is not also in accordance with NCTE norms.

AND WHEREAS, under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations, to reject the application and advised SRO to reissue earlier decision of withdrawal of recognition.

AND WHEREAS, as per the decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 25.4.2014.

AND WHEREAS, in the meantime, the institution has approached the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No. 9261-9269/2014 filed by 9 institutions of Tumkur University against the State of Karnataka and others wherein the institution is the 4th petitioner and SRC, NCTE is the 4th respondent. NCTE, New Delhi is not a party in the writ petition No.9261-9269/2014.

AND WHEREAS, the Court order dated 16.04.2014 states as under.-

"The matter having been heard earlier, the interim order stood vacated. The petitions were found to be not maintainable and it was adjourned at the request of the learned

Senior Advocate appearing for the counsel for the petitioners Thereafter, the matter having been listed before the Court on several occasions, the counsel for the petitioner had sought repeated adjournments on the ground that it should be dismissed only in the presence of the Senior Advocate engaged in the case. Today when the matter is called out, a similar request is made.

pme

Contd...P/6

-5-

- Since the petitions have been heard at length and as there is no substance in the petitions, repeated request by the learned counsel for the petitioners to dismiss the petitions if at all only in the presence of the Senior Advocate engaged, is not a reason to adjourn the matter. The petitions are dismissed.
- 2) Incidentally, the learned counsel for the petitioners, as a last effort, states that he has made an application before the NCTE for re-consideration of the petitioners' case for recognition in the light of having complied with the norms prescribed by the NCTE.

If such an application has been made, it is for the NCTE to take further steps, in accordance with law and to expedite the same. With that observation, the petitions stand dismissed."

Remarks of SRO

As seen from the records, there is no application from the institution which is pending with the SRC as on 16.4.2014 as submitted by the petitioner institution in W.P.No.9261-9269/2014.

AND WHEREAS, the SRC in its 267th Meeting held on 12th – 13th May, 2014 considered the matter. In the Hon'ble High Court, the Counsel for petitioners, as a last effort, stated that he had made an application before the NCTE for re-consideration of the petitioner's case for recognition in the light of having complied with norms prescribed by the NCTE.

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble Court in their order dated 16.04.2014 stated that, if such an application had been made, (as mentioned above), it was for the NCTE to take further steps, in accordance with law, and to expedite the same. With that observation, the petitions were dismissed.

AND WHEREAS, as seen from the records of this office (SRCNCTE), no application was/has been received from the Institution. The question of reconsideration, therefore, does not arise. The committee therefore, decided to close the case.

AND WHEREAS, as per the decision of SRC a letter intimating the decision of SRC was sent on 22/07/2014.

AND WHEREAS, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs. and the NCTE Appellate Authority in its order dated 15/10/2014 received by SRC on 30.10.2014 has confirmed the order of SRC.

"....The Council noted that all the three grounds on which recognition has been withdrawn are valid. The land and building has been leased by an individual and therefore it cannot be considered as the own land of the institution. The lease is reported to be limited to the building only. Further the approval of staff list has been obtained after withdrawal and making of appeal in the circumstances the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed

The Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

Contd...P/7

-6-

AND WHEREAS, the SRC in its 275th meeting held on 1-2 December 2014, considered the matter

AND WHEREAS, a letter received from Sri.P.N.Nanja Reddy, Advocate on 23.01.015 regarding

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, a letter was sent to Sri PramodN.Kathavi, Advocate on 31.01.2015

AND WHEREAS, now, a letter received from Sri. PramodN. Kathavi, Advocate on 02.06.2015 along

with certified court order in W.P.No.52913/2014 and professional fee bill. AND WHEREAS, the court order in W.P.No.52913/2014 dated 16.04.2015 stated as follows:-

1. In this writ petition, the petitioner-Indira College of Education is challenging the order dated 15.10.2014 (Annexure-C) passed by the appellate authority namely, National Council for Teacher Education, whereby it has rejected the appeal filed by the petitioner by confirming the order dtd. 25.04.2014 passed by National Council for Teacher Education, The appellate authority has dismissed the petitioner's appeal with the following reasoning:

......AND WHEREAS, the council noted that all the three grounds on which recognition has been withdrawn are valid. The land and building has been leased by an individual and therefore it cannot be considered as the own land of the institution. The lease is reported to be limited to the building only. Further the approval of staff list has been obtained after withdrawal and making of appeal. In the circumstances the council concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to state as to how the above reasoning of the

appellate authority is contrary to law. I find no legal infirmity in the order of the appellate authority warranting interference under the extraordinary jurisdiction of this court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is devoid of ment and it is accordingly dismissed. In view of dismissal of the writ petition, I.A. No.1/2015 filed for interim stay also

AND WHEREAS, the institution submitted its written representation on 30.06.2015, stated as

We submit that the recognition has been granted for running the B.Ed., course from 18.07.1998. Since then, we have been running the B.Ed course in the college by adhering the norms prescribed by the NCTE. When things stood thus, the recognition has been withdrawn on 25.04.2014 on the ground that we have not complied the three deficiencies. Thereafter, aggrieved by the same, we filed an Appeal before the Appellate authority, New Delhi and the Appellate authority was pleased to confirm the order passed by your authority on 15.10.2014. By which time we have complied two deficiencies pointed out by you, only deficiency was left out is that the land is not in the name of the institution. Aggrieved by the same, we filed W.P. No.52913/2014 before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka. The Hon'ble High Court also confirmed the orders passed by you and the appellate authority on

MU

Contd...P/8

 It is submitted that in pursuance of the orders passed by the Hon'ble High court, the land has been transferred in the name of the institution by way of Gift deed dated 20.06.2015. Copy of the Gift deed is produced for your reference. From the above, it is clear that 2. totally measuring 16,650 sq.ft. of land has been transferred in the name of the institution.

-8-

Therefore, the deficiency pointed out by you has been fully complied wit

AND WHEREAS, we respectfully submits that the recognition withdrawn by you may kindly be restored and permit us to conduct and admit the students for the B.Ed. course from the academic year 2015-16 onwards in the interest of justice."

The institution has submitted along with certified photocopy Gift deed dated 20.06.2015 in favour of society.

AND WHEREAS, the Southern Regional Committee in its 290th meeting held during 10th and 11th July, 2015 considered the mater, decided as under-

"The institution's letter is seen. This is after dismissal of the petition before the High Court. The institution should have applied afresh on-line under the 2014 Regulations in accordance with the NCTE Notification.

Close the case.

AND WHEREAS, on 17.08.2015, a Court notice was received from the Hon'ble Court in W.P.No. 29387 of 2015 and a letter was addressed to te advocate on 25.08.2015 to defend the case.

AND WHEREAS, on 15.09.2015, the institution has submitted a representation along with the Court order which is as under :-

" With reference to the above, the undersigned filed the above writ petition for reconsidering the withdrawal of recognition of my institution for the academic year 2015-16 to the B.Ed course by considering the representation submitted by the College

It is further submitted that we have complied with all the deficiencies pointed out including the land has been transferred in the name of the institution. By considering the same the Hon'ble high Court was pleased to pass and order on 07.09.2015 by directing you to re consider the withdrawal of recognition within four weeks of the order made in W.P.No. 29387 of 2015 dated 07.09.2015 in enclosed herewith for you reference and to implement the orders of the Hon'ble High Court.

Therefore, we request you good self kindly reconsider the withdrawal of recognition and restore the recognition for the B.Ed course in terms of the orders passed by the High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore at the earliest."

AND WHEREAS, the Court order dated 07.09.2015 in W.P.No. 29387 of 2015 is as under :-

"The petitioner seeks for a writ of mandamus to direct the 2ne respondent to consider his case for reconsideration of the withdrawal of the recognition. He contends that after the recognition was withdrawn he has since satisfied all the requirements. However, the same has not been considered.

2.Under these circumstances, I find it just and appropriate that the respondents considers the material that the petitioner has produces before them and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Hence the petition is allowed. Respondent No.2 is directed to and

Contd...P/9

reconsider the withdrawal of recognition based on the material produced by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

AND WHEREAS, the documents submitted by the institution were placed before 292nd meeting of SRC held during 29th to 30th September, 2015. The Committee considered the matter and decided to process

AND WHEREAS, the Documents of the institution submitted on 01.07.2015 were processed .

AND WHEREAS, the SRC in its 293rd meeting held during 29th, 30th & 31st October 2015, considered the matter, took note of the Court Interim order and advised Southern Regional Office to put up the case for amending our order.

AND WHEREAS, on 16.12.2015, certified Copy of the Court order dated 07.09.2015 is received by this office from the Education Government , Government of Karnataka

AND WHEREAS, the matter was again placed before SRC in its 297th meeting held during 27th to 28th December 2015 and the Committee decided as under :-

" Collect all documents including latest faculty list : process and put up "

AND WHEREAS, on 08.01.2016, based on website information , the institution has submitted the following documents :-

NOW THEREFORE, the Southern Regional Committee in its 298th meeting held on 08th & 10th January, 2015, considered the matter, and decided as under.

- "1. There was no 'shifting' condition. Issue of SCN for not shifting and withdrawal of recognition on that ground was wrong
- recognition on that ground was wrong. 2. Restore recognition
- 3. Close the case."

Hence as per the decision of SRC, the recognition is decided as Restored

Further, the institution has submitted affidavit on 12.01.2016, as per regulations 2014 and the revised order for the academic year 2015-16 is under

TO BE PUBLISHED IN GAZETTE OF INDIA PART III SECTION 4

ORDER

WHEREAS, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(2) of Section 32 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993(73 of 1993), and in supersession of the National Council for Teacher Education [Recognition Norms and Procedure] Regulations, 2009, the National Council for Teacher Education has notified the Regulations, 2014 on 1.12.2014.

 AND WHEREAS, the institution, Indira College of Education, Behind Tiles Factory, Upparahally, Tumkur, Karnataka has by affidavit consented to come under New Regulations and sought for two basic units in B.Ed, which requires additional facilities.

 AND WHEREAS, on scrutiny it is found that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the fixed deposit receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds.

4. AND WHEREAS, it has been decided to permit the institution an intake of two basic units of 50 students subject to the institution fulfilling following conditions namely

Contd....P/10

-10-The institution shall submit revalidated FDRs of the enhanced values, in joint account with the 1. SRC failing which the recognition will be withdrawn. The institution shall create additional facilities that include (a) additional infrastructure, (b) H. additional funds, (c) additional staff as per Regulations, 2014 and inform Regional Committees with required documents III. The applicant-institution for additional unit will be required to submit the required documents such as land documents, Encumbrance Certificate (EC), Land Use Certificate (LUC), Building Plan (BP) and the Approved staff list in the specified proforma available on the website to the Regional Committee in proof of having provided additional facilities Building Completion Certificate (BCC) may be given along with other documents if available, otherwise it can also be given to the Visiting team at the time of inspection. IV. The Regional Committees shall arrange for verification of documents, inspection of these premises and check adherence to these conditions by 20 Feb, 2016. If it is found by the Regional Committee that the institution fails to comply with these requirements, the institutions shall not be permitted to admit students for the academic year 2016-2017. 5. NOW THEREFORE, in the light of the above and in terms of Section 14(3) of NCTE Act and in accordance with the Regulations, 2014, the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE hereby grants recognition to Indira College of Education, Behind Tiles Factory, Upparahally, Tumkur, Karnataka for conducting B.Ed programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 for two basic units of 50 students each from the academic session 2015-16 6. Further, the recognition is subject to fulfilment of other requirements as may be prescribed by other regulatory bodies like UGC, affiliating University/Body, the State Government etc, as applicable. 7. The institution shall submit to the Regional Committee a Self- Appraisal Report at the end of each academic year along with the statement of annual accounts duly audited by a chartered Accountant. 8. The institutions shall maintain & update its web-site as per provisions of NCTE Regulations and always display following as mandatory disclosure:a) Sanctioned programmes along with annual intake in the institution:b) Name of faculty and staff in full as mentioned in school certificate along with their qualifications, scale of pay and photograph. Name of faculty members who left or joined during the last, quarter: d) Names of Students admitted during the current session along with qualification, Percentage of marks in the qualifying examination and in the entrance test, if any, date of admission, etc.; e) Fee charged from students; Available infrastructural facilities; n g) Facilities added during the last quarter; Number of books in the library, journals subscribed to and additions, if any, in the last quarter, h) The affidavit with enclosure submitted along with application. The institution shall be free to post additional relevant information. if it so desires. i) Any false or incomplete information on website shall render the institution liable for withdrawal (k) of recognition. PAR Contd P/11 If the institution Contravenes any of the above conditions or the provision of the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations and Orders made of issued there under , the institution will render itself vulnerable to adverse action including withdrawal of recognition by the regional committee under the provisions of Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act.

-11-

By Order,

9 Cenatic Leda (P.Revathi Reddy) **Regional Director**

The Manager, Government of India Press Department of Publications (Gazette Section) Civil Lines, New Delhi - 110054.

To

The Principal, Indira College of Education, Behind Tiles Factory, Upparahally, Tumkur, Karnataka

Copy to:

- The Secretary, Dept. of Elementary Education and Literacy, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi 110 001.
- The Principal Secretary (Higher Education) Government of Karnataka, M.S. Building, Dr. Ambedkar Veedhi, Bangalore-580001, Karnataka.
- The Registrar, Tumkur University, Tumkur, Karnataka
 The Director, DSERT, Ring Road, BSK 2rd Stage, Bangalore.
- The Correspondent, Indira College of Education, Behind Tiles Factory, Upparahally, 5. Tumkur, Karnataka
- 6. The Under Secretary (CS), National Council for Teacher Education, Hans Bhawan Wing-II, Bahadursbhah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002.
- 7. Office Order file/Institution file.